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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 12/8/2018 |
| Code distribution | 15/8/2018 |
| Meeting Face to face | 18/8/2018 |
| Iteration stop | 26/8/2018 |

# 2. High-levelobjectives

1. Attend an iteration planning and evaluation meeting
2. Carry out your assigned work items as assigned at the team meeting in the scheduled timeframe.
3. Communicate as necessary and desirable with your teammates using your selected push communication mechanism to coordinate your development activities.
4. Participate effectively in at least 3 static code reviews, taking on each of the roles of mediator, reviewer, and author at least once. IE rotate roles between team members in each review.
5. Merge your reviewed code with the main branch of the team’s code repository.
6. Contribute in unitTesting,IntegrationTesting,UserAcceptance Testing through version control repository.

# 3. Evaluation criteria

* 1. 95 % of iteration planning is successful
  2. Assigned work items are almost finished as per schedule.
  3. Communication is increasing day by day.
  4. 100% Participation of roles in static code review.
  5. 97% reviewed code is merged with main branch of the team’s code repository 4. Work Item assignments

# 

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| 1.1 | Code distribution | Code distibuted individually and started working on it | Complete | All Members | 78 | 78 | 0 |
| 1.2 | Github is updated | Changed code is updated in github | Complete | All Members | 50 | 49 | 1 |
| 1.3 | Week Tasks Review | Can review overall tasks performed in a session | Complete | Thilina, Chiguru | 25 | 15 | 10 |
| 1.4 | Iteration Plan | Help to identify complete and remaining tasks | Complete | Chiguru, Nashath | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 1.5 | Week 7 | Help to review overall tasks performed in a session | Complete | Hashini,  Thilina  Malinda | 14 | 11 | 3 |

# 5. Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| * Code developing * Code Review | incomplete | * It need to develop by each team members. * It need to be reviewed and merged to master |

# 6. Assessment

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target | Static code review |
| Assessment date | 26/08/2018 |
| Participants | Chiguru,Thilina,Nashanth,Haashini,Malinda |
| Project status | Project inception and undertaking progress responsibility among the colleagues [Green] |

## Assessment against objectives

Group arrangement has been done alongside up close and personal connection with every one of the colleagues. Next gathering time, date and setting has been settled. Correspondingly, contact subtle elements of each colleagues has been traded.

## Work Items: Plannedcompared to actually completed

Venture aggregate has been arranged and shaped in time ,updated in the wiki as well.

Code distributed in the principal meeting and started working individually and Legitimate documentation has been arranged in the gathering and refresh related works are experiencing.

## Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results

The code distributed individually and started working as author, reviewer, mediator and documentor

Author duty is to rewrite the code and reviewer to review it , if they have any issues mediator have to compromise them . documentor have to document the updates

Other concerns and deviations

* Issues may emerge relating wellbeing, individual and so on the colleagues which comes about group gatherings disappointment, unfit to contribute one's part and so on.
* Correspondence may be deterred because of different reasons, for example, arrange disappointment, nonappearance in gatherings and so forth.
* Undertaking reconciliation might be postponed.
* Alloted assignments may be misconstrued